

on October 24, 1990, is approved effective March 15, 1991. Indiana regulatory authority's explanation and statement concerning how the existing State program provisions satisfy the required amendment to allow intervention in a hearing by a person who has an interest which is or may be adversely affected by the outcome of the proceeding.

§ 914.16 [Amended]

3. 30 CFR 914.16 is amended by removing and reserving paragraph (c). [FR Doc. 91-6175 Filed 3-14-91; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-05-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Office of Foreign Assets Control

31 CFR Part 560

Iranian Transactions Regulations

AGENCY: Office of Foreign Assets Control, Department of the Treasury.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule amends the Iranian Transactions Regulations, 31 CFR part 560 (the "Regulations"), to permit case-by-case licensing of the importation of Iranian-origin oil where the importation is related to the resolution or settlement of cases before the Iran-U.S. Claims Tribunal in The Hague (the "Tribunal"), or where the proceeds are otherwise to be deposited in the Tribunal's Security Account.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 15, 1991.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Contact William B. Hoffman, Chief Counsel (202/535-6020), or Steven I. Pinter, Chief of Licensing (202/535-9449), Office of Foreign Assets Control, Department of the Treasury, Washington, DC 20220.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The regulations prohibit importation into the United States of goods and services of Iranian origin, and certain related transactions. The regulations are being amended to add § 560.513, authorizing the case-by-case licensing of Iranian-origin oil imports, provided the importer certifies that the oil to be imported is in resolution or settlement of an outstanding claim against Iran, or the proceeds of the sale of the oil are otherwise to be deposited in the Security Account at The Hague. This will permit licensing of oil imports in two specific circumstances neither of which involves any transfer of funds to Iran: (1) A settlement between Iran and a U.S. company with payment to the U.S. company entirely in oil instead of in funds from the security account; or (2) a

purchase of oil from Iran with all the funds in payment deposited in the Security Account.

Since the regulations involve a foreign affairs function, the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 553, requiring notice of proposed rulemaking, opportunity for public participation, and delay in effective date, are inapplicable. Because no notice of proposed rulemaking is required for this rule, the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, *et seq.*, does not apply. Because the regulations are issued with respect to a foreign affairs function of the United States, they are not subject to Executive Order 12291 of February 17, 1981, dealing with Federal regulations.

List of Subjects in 31 CFR Part 560

Imports, Iran, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 31 CFR part 560 is amended as follows:

PART 560—IRANIAN TRANSACTIONS REGULATIONS

1. The "Authority" citation for part 560 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 22 U.S.C. 2349aa-9; E.O. No. 12613, 52 FR 41940, October 30, 1987.

Subpart E—Licenses, Authorizations, and Statements of Licensing Policy

2. A new § 560.513 is added at the end of subpart E to read as follows:

§ 560.513 Importation of Iranian-origin oil.

(a) Specific licenses will be issued on a case-by-case basis to permit the importation of Iranian-origin oil in connection with the resolution or settlement of cases before the Iran-United States Claims Tribunal in The Hague, established pursuant to the Algiers Accords of January 19, 1981, or where the proceeds are otherwise to be deposited in the Tribunal's Security Account.

(b) License applications submitted pursuant to this section must contain the importer's certification that the oil is of Iranian origin with all relevant supporting documentation, including specification of the production site at which the oil was extracted, and that the sale or transfer of the oil is by or for the account of the Government of Iran. Licenses will not be issued for importations of Iranian-origin oil which is not sold or transferred by or for the account of the Government of Iran. In cases where the oil is being imported either in whole or in part in resolution or settlement of a case pending before the Tribunal, applicants are required to

identify the case and submit a copy of the settlement agreement and the Award on Agreed Terms issued by the Tribunal. In cases where any proceeds are generated, for the account of the Government of Iran from the importation of Iranian-origin oil, the importer must demonstrate that irrevocable arrangements are in place that will ensure that the proceeds will be deposited in the Security Account at The Hague.

Dated: February 25, 1991.

R. Richard Newcomb,

Director, Office of Foreign Assets Control.

Approved: March 6, 1991.

Peter K. Nunez,

Assistant Secretary (Enforcement).

[FR Doc. 91-6139 Filed 3-11-91; 3:51 pm]

BILLING CODE 4810-25-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 100

[CGD 09-90-18]

Special Local Regulations; International Bay City River Roar, Saginaw River, Bay City, MI

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Temporary rule.

SUMMARY: Special Local Regulations are being adopted for the International Bay City River Roar. This event will be held on the Saginaw River on the 12th, 13th, and 14th of July 1991, with an alternate date of 15 July 1991 if the weather is inclement on 14 July 1991.

EFFECTIVE DATE: These regulations become effective on 12 July 1991 and terminate on 15 July 1991.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Corey A. Bennett, Marine Science Technician First Class, U.S. Coast Guard, Search and Rescue Branch, Ninth Coast Guard District, 1240 East 9th Street, Cleveland, Ohio 44199-2060, (216) 522-4420.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On 11 January 1991, the Coast Guard published a Notice of Proposed Rule Making in the Federal Register for these regulations (56 FR 1152). Interested persons were requested to submit comments and no comments were received.

Drafting Information

The drafters of this regulation are Corey A. Bennett, Marine Science Technician First Class, U.S. Coast Guard, project officer, Search and Rescue Branch and M. Eric Reeves.

Lieutenant Commander, U.S. Coast Guard, project attorney, Ninth Coast Guard District Legal Office.

Discussion of Regulations

The International Bay City River Roar will be conducted on the Saginaw River between the Liberty Bridge and the Veterans Memorial Bridge on the 12th, 13th, and 14th of July 1991. This event will have an estimated 70 hydroplanes which could pose hazards to navigation in the area. Any vessel desiring to transit the regulated area may do so only with prior approval of the Patrol Commander (Officer in Charge, U.S. Coast Guard Station Saginaw River, MI).

Economic Assessment and Certification

This regulation is considered to be non-major under Executive Order 12291 on Federal Regulation and nonsignificant under Department of Transportation regulatory policies and procedures (44 FR 11034; February 28, 1979). The economic impact has been found to be so minimal that a full regulatory evaluation is unnecessary. This event will draw a large number of spectator craft into the area for the duration of the event. This should have a favorable impact on commercial facilities providing services to the spectators. Any impact on commercial traffic in the area will be negligible.

Since the impact of this regulation is expected to be minimal, the Coast Guard certifies that it will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Federalism

This action has been analyzed in accordance with the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 12612, and it has been determined that this rulemaking does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 100

Marine safety, Navigation (water).

Final Regulations

In consideration of the foregoing, part 100 of title 33, Code of Federal Regulations, is amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for part 100 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1233; 49 CFR 1.46 and 33 CFR 100.35.

2. Part 100 would be amended to add a temporary section 100.35-T0918 to read as follows:

§ 100.35-T0918 International Bay City River Roar, Saginaw River, Bay City, MI.

(a) *Regulated Area.* That portion of the Saginaw River from the Liberty Bridge on the north to the Veterans Memorial Bridge on the south.

(b) *Special Local Regulations.*

(1) The above area will be closed to navigation and anchorage, except when expressly authorized by the Coast Guard Patrol Commander, from 9:30 a.m. (EDST) until 4 p.m. (EDST) on 12 July 1991, from 9:30 a.m. (EDST) until 4:30 p.m. (EDST) on 13 July 1991, and from 8:30 a.m. (EDST) until 5:30 p.m. (EDST) on 14 July 1991.

(2) If the weather on 14 July 1991 is inclement, the river closure will be postponed until 8:30 a.m. (EDST) until 5:30 p.m. (EDST) on 15 July 1991. If postponed, notice will be given on 14 July 1991 over the U.S. Coast Guard Radio Net.

(3) The Coast Guard will patrol the regulated area under the direction of a designated Coast Guard Patrol Commander. The Patrol Commander may be contacted on channel 16 (156.8 MHz) by the call sign "Coast Guard Patrol Commander". Any vessel, not authorized to participate in the event, desiring to transit the regulated area may do so only with prior approval of the Patrol Commander and when so directed by that officer. Transiting vessels will be operated at bare steerageway, and will exercise a high degree of caution in the area.

(4) The Patrol Commander may direct the anchoring, mooring, or movement of any boat or vessel within the regulated area. A succession of sharp, short signals by whistle or horn from vessels patrolling the area under the direction of the U.S. Coast Guard Patrol Commander shall serve as a signal to stop. Any vessel so signaled shall stop and shall comply with the orders of the Patrol Commander. Failure to do so may result in expulsion from the area, citation for failure to comply, or both.

(5) The Patrol Commander may establish vessel size and speed limitations, and operating conditions.

(6) The Patrol Commander may restrict vessel operation within the regulated area to vessels having particular operating characteristics.

(7) The Patrol Commander may terminate the marine event or the operation of any vessel at any time it is deemed necessary for the protection of life and property.

Dated: March 5, 1991.

G.A. Penington,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Ninth Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 91-6221 Filed 3-14-91; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-14-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 81

[AD-FRL-3913-12]

Designations and Classifications for Initial PM-10 Nonattainment Areas

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice announcing designations and classifications for initial PM-10 nonattainment areas.

SUMMARY: Under section 107(d)(4)(B) of the Clean Air Act (Act) as amended by the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 (Pub. L. No. 101-549, November 15, 1990), certain areas were designated as nonattainment for the pollutant PM-10 by operation of law upon enactment of the Amendments. These areas include "Group" I areas identified at 52 FR 29383 (August 7, 1987) and as subsequently clarified at 55 FR 45799 (October 31, 1990). Other areas (i.e., Group II or III areas) containing sites for which air quality monitoring data showed a violation of the national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) for PM-10 prior to January 1, 1989 were also designated nonattainment for PM-10 by operation of law upon enactment. All other areas were designated unclassified for PM-10 by operation of law. By this notice, EPA is announcing, as required by section 107(d)(2) of the amended Act, all of those areas that were designated nonattainment for PM-10 by operation of law on November 15, 1990.

By this notice, EPA is also announcing, as required by section 188(a) of the amended Act, that all of the areas designated nonattainment for PM-10 by operation of law upon enactment of the Amendments were classified as moderate nonattainment areas at that time. In accordance with section 189(a)(2), States must submit State implementation plans (SIP's) for these areas by November 15, 1991.

DATES: Written comments on this notice must be received by April 15, 1991 at the address below.

EFFECTIVE DATE: These actions will become effective on May 14, 1991.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to Larry D. Wallace, Particulate Matter Programs Section, Air Quality Management Division (MD-15), Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711.

The air quality monitoring data supporting the nonattainment designation of the former Group II and III areas monitoring violations of the PM-10 NAAQS prior to January 1, 1989 are available from the respective EPA Regional Office which serves the State where the affected area is located. The addresses of the Regional Offices are as follows:

- State Air Programs Branch, EPA Region I, J.F.K. Federal Building, Boston, MA 02203-2211
- Air Programs Branch, EPA Region II, 26 Federal Plaza, New York, NY 10278
- Air Programs Branch, EPA Region III, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, PA 19107
- Air Programs Branch, EPA Region IV, 345 Courtland Street, NE, Atlanta, GA 30365
- Air and Radiation Branch, EPA Region V, 230 South Dearborn Street, Chicago, IL 60604
- Air Programs Branch, EPA Region VI, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, TX 75202-2733
- Air Branch, EPA Region VII, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, KS 66101
- Air Programs Branch, EPA Region VIII, 999 18th Street, Denver Place—Suite 500, Denver, CO 80202-2405
- Air Programs Branch, EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105
- Air Programs Branch, EPA Region X, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, WA 98101

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Larry D. Wallace, Particulate Matter Programs Section, Air Quality Management Division, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, (919) 541-0906 or FTS 629-0906 and at the address indicated above.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

A. 1987 Revision of the NAAQS for Particulate Matter

On July 1, 1987, EPA revised the NAAQS for particulate matter, replacing total suspended particulates (TSP) as the indicator for particulate matter with a new indicator that included only those particles with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10 micrometers (called "PM-10") (52 FR 24634). At the same time, EPA set forth regulations for implementing the revised particulate matter standards and announced EPA's SIP development policy on PM-10 control strategies necessary to assure attainment and maintenance of the PM-10 NAAQS (see generally 52 FR 24672). The EPA

adopted a PM-10 SIP development policy dividing all areas of the country into three categories based on their probability of violating the new NAAQS: (1) Areas with a strong likelihood of violating the PM-10 NAAQS and requiring substantial SIP adjustment were placed in Group I, (2) areas where attainment of the PM-10 NAAQS was possible and existing SIP's needed less adjustment were placed in Group II, (3) areas with a strong likelihood of attaining the PM-10 NAAQS and therefore needing adjustment only to their preconstruction review program and monitoring network were placed in Group III (52 FR 24672, 24679-24682).

B. Prior Listing of and Modification to PM-10 Groups I, II, and III Areas

In accordance with the standards, policies, and regulations published on July 1, 1987 for revising and implementing the new particulate matter standards, EPA identified and listed the Group I and Group II areas in each State in a notice published on August 7, 1987 (52 FR 29383). That notice also indicated that any area of the country not listed as Group I or II was placed in Group III (52 FR 29383).

The EPA subsequently modified the listing for three areas and announced these revisions in a notice published on March 28, 1989 (54 FR 12620). Specifically, the 1989 notice indicated that Porter County, Indiana, was changed from Group I to Group II; Mono Basin, California, was changed from Group III to Group II; and Sandpoint, Idaho, was changed from Group I to Group II.

On October 31, 1990, EPA published technical corrections clarifying the boundaries of concern for some of the areas previously identified as Groups I and II areas (55 FR 45799). When EPA listed the initial groupings for areas in the August 1987 notice, the Groups I and II areas of concern were generally described as cities, towns, counties, or planning areas. The EPA indicated at that time that these descriptions were only the initial definitions of the areas to be investigated in the SIP development process and would be better defined later. The clarifications to the Groups I and II areas announced in October 1990 specifically defined and delineated the boundaries of the Groups I and Group II areas in question based on information obtained in the SIP development process and EPA guidelines and procedures for determining particulate matter boundaries. With respect to Group II areas, the October 1990 notice also set forth those areas containing a site for which air quality monitoring data

showed a violation of the NAAQS prior to January 1, 1989.¹

II. Today's Action

In the 1990 Amendments to the Clean Air Act, Congress used the PM-10 grouping scheme as the starting point for designating areas on nonattainment and unclassifiable for PM-10 by operation of law upon enactment of the Amendments. Group I areas identified in 52 FR 29383 (August 7, 1987) and as subsequently clarified in 55 FR 45799 (October 31, 1990) were designated nonattainment for PM-10 by operation of law² [see section 107(d)(4)(B)(i) of the amended Act]. Any other area (i.e., Group II or III areas) containing a site for which air quality monitoring data showed a violation of the NAAQS for PM-10 prior to January 1, 1989 was also designated nonattainment for PM-10 by operation of law upon enactment [see 107(d)(4)(B)(ii) of the amended Act]. All other areas were designated unclassifiable for PM-10 by operation of law [see 107(d)(4)(B)(iii) of the amended Act]. By this notice, EPA is announcing, as required by section 107(d)(2) of the amended Act, all of those areas that were designated nonattainment for PM-10 by operation of law on November 15, 1990.

Section 188(a) of the amended Act provides that those areas designated nonattainment for PM-10 upon enactment of the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments were, by operation of law, classified as moderate PM-10 nonattainment areas at the time of their designation as nonattainment. By this notice, EPA is also announcing, as required by section 188(a) of the amended Act, that all of the areas designated as nonattainment for PM-10 by operation of law upon enactment of the Amendments were classified as moderate nonattainment areas at that time.

For administrative efficiency reasons, EPA will defer the ministerial act of formally codifying these PM-10 designations and classifications in 40 CFR part 81 until EPA codifies designations and classifications for other pollutants sometime within the next few months. This notice is provided now in order to make the announcements required by sections 107(d)(2) and 188(a) of the revised Act and to ensure that SIP development for

¹ Footnote 4 of the October 31, 1990 notice references Group II areas with violations of the PM-10 NAAQS.

² The notice published on October 31, 1990 (55 FR 45799) reflects the revisions announced in the notice published on March 28, 1989 (54 FR 12620).

the new PM-10 nonattainment areas proceeds in a timely fashion.

Neither of these actions is subject to the APA requirements for notice-and-comment rulemaking (5 U.S.C. 553-557) or section 307(d) of the amended Clean Air Act.³ Regarding designations, section 107(d)(2) of the amended Act requires the Administrator to publish a notice announcing designations occurring pursuant to section 107(d)(4), but explicitly provides that such announcement is not subject to APA notice-and-comment rulemaking procedures. Thus, Congress has expressly exempted the announcement of those areas designated nonattainment for PM-10 by operation of law under section 107(d)(4)(B) from the notice-and-comment procedural requirements of the APA.

Regarding classifications, section 188(a) of the amended Act requires the Administrator to publish a notice announcing the classifications of these areas. Section 188(a) explicitly states that the provisions of section 172(a)(1)(B) pertaining to lack of notice and comment and judicial review shall apply when the Administrator announces these classifications. Section 172(a)(1)(B), in turn, expressly exempts the classification announcement from the notice-and-comment procedures set forth in 5 U.S.C. 553-557 of the APA.

Nevertheless, for the purpose of providing an opportunity for public participation and avoiding error, EPA will entertain any comments on these actions that are received by April 15, 1991. The EPA's announcement of these

actions [for purposes of sections 107(d)(2) and 188(a)] will become effective on May 14, 1991. This will provide enough time for EPA to make any adjustments to the announcement that are appropriate in light of the comments.

III. Initial PM-10 Nonattainment Areas

The following list identifies all of those areas designated as nonattainment for PM-10 on November 15, 1990, upon enactment of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990. The EPA also announces, pursuant to section 188(a) of the amended Act, that all of these areas were classified as moderate by operation of law upon enactment of the Amendments.

PM-10 INITIAL NONATTAINMENT AREAS^{1,2}

State and counties	Area of Concern
Alaska:	
Anchorage.....	Community of Eagle River.
Juneau.....	City of Juneau; Mendenhall Valley area.
Arizona:	
Cochise.....	Paul Spur/Douglas planning area: Township 23 south, Range 25 east (T23S, R25E); T23S, R26E; T24S, R25E; T24S, R26E; T23S, R27E; T24S, R27E; T23S, R28E; T24S, R28E.
Santa Cruz.....	Nogales planning area: The portions of the following Townships which are within the State of Arizona and lie east of 111° longitude: T23S, R13E; T23S, R14E; T24S, R13E; T24S, R14E;
Pima.....	Rillito planning area: Townships: T11S, R9E; T11S, R10E; T11S, R11E; T11S, R12E; T12S, R8E; T12S, R9E; T12S, R10E; T12S, R11E; T12S, R12E; Ajo planning area: Township T12S, R6W, and the following sections of Township T12S, R5W: a. Sections 6-8 b. Sections 17-20, and c. Sections 29-32
Maricopa and Pinal.....	Phoenix planning area: The rectangle determined by, and including, T6N, R3W; T6N, R7E; T2S, R3W; T2S, R7E; T1N, R8E
Yuma.....	Yuma planning area: Townships: T7S-R21W, R22W; T8S-R21W, R22W, R23W, R24W; T9S-R21W, R22W, R23W, R24W, R25W; T10S-R21W, R22W, R23W, R24W, R25W.
Pinal and Gila.....	Hayden/Miami planning area: Townships: T4S, R16E T5S, R16E T6S, R16E plus the portion of Township T3S, R16E that does not lie on the San Carlos Indian Reservation, and the rectangle formed by, and including, Townships: T1N, R13E; T1N, R15E T6S, R13E; T6S, R15E
California:	
Inyo.....	Owens Valley planning area: Hydrologic Unit #18090103.
San Bernardino, Inyo, and Kern.....	Searles Valley planning area: Hydrologic Unit #1809005.
Mono.....	Mammoth Lake planning area: Includes the following sections: a. Sections 1-12, 17, and 18 of Township T4S, R28E; b. Sections 25-36 of Township T3S, R28E; c. Sections 25-36 of Township T3S, R27E; d. Sections 1-18 of Township T4S, R27E; and e. Sections 25 and 36 of Township T3S, R26E
Fresno, Kern, Kings, Tulare, San Joaquin, Stanislaus, Madera.	San Joaquin Valley planning area.
Riverside, Los Angeles, Orange, and San Bernardino.	South Coast Air Basin.
Riverside.....	Coachella Valley planning area.
Imperial.....	Imperial Valley planning area.
Colorado:	
Archuleta.....	Pagosa Springs.

³ Regarding section 307(d) requirements, today's actions are not among the actions listed in section

307(d)(1) of the amended Act as being subject to the procedural requirements of section 307(d), nor has

the Administrator designated these actions as 307(d)(1)(U) of the amended Act.

PM-10 INITIAL NONATTAINMENT AREAS^{1,2}—Continued

State and counties	Area of Concern
Adams, Denver, Arapahoe, Jefferson, Douglas, and Boulder.	Denver Metropolitan area: All of Denver, Jefferson, and Douglas Counties, Boulder County (excluding the Rocky Mountain National Park) and the Colorado automobile inspection and readjustment program portions of Adams and Arapahoe Counties.
San Miguel	Telluride.
Prowers	Lamar.
Pitkin	Aspen.
Fremont	Canon City.
Connecticut:	
New Haven	City of New Haven.
Idaho:	
Ada	Boise: Northern Boundary—Beginning at a point in the center of the channel of the Boise River, where the line between sections 15 and 16 in township 3 north (T3N), range 4 east (R4E), crosses said Boise River; thence, west down the center of the channel of the Boise River to a point opposite the mouth of More's Creek; thence, in a straight line north 44 degrees and 38 minutes west until the said line intersects the north line of T5N (12 Ter. Ses. 67); thence, west to the northwest corner T5N, R1W; Western Boundary—Thence, south to the northwest corner of T3N, R1W; thence, east to the northwest corner of section 4 of T3N, R1W; thence, south to the southeast corner of section 32 of T2N, R1W; thence, west to the northwest corner of T1N, R1W; thence, south to the southwest corner of section 32 of T2N, R1W; thence, west to the northwest corner of T1N, R1W; thence, south to the southwest corner of T1N, R1W; Southern Boundary—Thence, east to the southwest corner of section 33 of T1N, R4E; Eastern Boundary—Thence, north along the north and south center line of Townships T1N, R4E, T2N, R4E, and T3N, R4E, Boise Meridian to the beginning point in the center of the channel of the Boise River.
Shoshone	City of Pinehurst.
Bannock and Power	City of Pocatello.
Bonner	County.
Illinois:	
Cook	a. Lyons Township b. The area bounded on the north by 79th Street, on the west by Route 57, on the south by Sibley Boulevard and on the east by the Illinois/Indiana State line.
LaSalle	Oglesby including the following Townships, ranges, and sections: T32N, R1E, S1; T32N, R2E, S6; T33N, R1E, S24; T33N, R1E, S25; T33N, R2E, S31; and T33N, R1E, S36.
Madison	Granite City Township and Nameoki Township.
Indiana:	
Lake	Cities of East Chicago, Hammond, Whiting, and Gary.
Vermillion	Clinton Township.
Maine:	
Aroostook	City of Presque Isle.
Michigan:	
Wayne	The area bounded by Michigan Avenue from its intersection with I-75 west to I-94, I-94 southwest to Greenfield Road, Greenfield Road south to Schaefer Road, Schaefer Road south and east to Jefferson Avenue, Jefferson Avenue south (Biddle Avenue through the city of Wyandotte) to Sibley Avenue, Sibley Avenue west to Fort Street, Fort Street south to King Road, King Road east to Jefferson Avenue, Jefferson Avenue south to Helen Road, Helen Road east extended to Trenton Channel, Trenton Channel north to the Detroit River, the Detroit River north to the Ambassador Bridge, Ambassador Bridge to I-75, I-75 to Michigan Avenue.
Minnesota:	
Ramsey	The area bounded by the Mississippi River from Lafayette to Route 494, Route 494 east to Route 61, Route 61 north to I-94 I-94 west to Lafayette, and Lafayette south to the Mississippi River.
Olmsted	City of Rochester.
Missouri:	
Audrain	County.
Montana:	
Flathead	The area bounded by lines from Universal Transmercator (UTM) coordinate 700000mE, 5347000mN, east to 704000mE, 5347000mN, south to 704000mE, 5341000mN, west to 703000mE, 5341000mN, south to 703000mE, 5340000mN, west to 702000mE, 5340000mN, south to 702000mE, 5339000mN, east to 703000mE, 5339000mN, south to 703000mE, 5338000mN, east to 704000mE, 5338000mN, south to 704000mE, 5336000mN, west to 702000mE, 5336000mN, south to 702000mE, 5335000mN, west to 700000mE, 5335000mN, north to 700000mE, 5340000mN, west to 695000mE, 5340000mN, north to 695000mE, 5345000mN, east to 700000mE, 5345000mN, north to 700000mE, 5347000mN.
Lincoln	Columbia Falls: Township T30N, R20W, Sections 7, 8, 9, 16, and 17.
Libby	Libby.
Lake	Ronan, Polson.
Missoula	Township T13N, R19W, sections 2, 8, 11, 14, 15, 16, 17, East 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 27, 28, 29, East 1/2 30, East 1/2 31, 32, 33, 34, and T12N, R19W, section 4, 5, 6, 7.
Rosebud	Lame Deer.
Silver Bow	Butte.
Nevada:	
Washoe	Reno planning area: Hydrographic area 87.
Clark	Las Vegas planning area: Hydrographic Area 212.
New Mexico:	
Dona Ana	The area bounded by Anthony Quadrangle, Anthony, New Mexico—Texas, SE/4 La Mesa 15' Quadrangle, N3200—W10630/7.5, Township 26S, Range 3E, Sections 35 and 36 as limited by the New Mexico—Texas State line on the south.
Ohio:	
Cuyahoga	County.
Jefferson	The portion of the City of Steubenville south of Market Street, plus the area bounded on the north by the southern boundary of the City of Steubenville, on the west by Ohio Route #7, on the south by the southern border of Steubenville Township, and on the east by the Ohio/West Virginia border.
Oregon:	
Jackson	Medford-Ashland air quality maintenance area (including White City).
Josephine	Grants Pass: The area within the urban growth boundary.
Lane	Eugene/Springfield: The area within the urban growth boundary.
Klamath	Klamath Falls: The area within the urban growth boundary.
Union	LaGrande: The area within the urban growth boundary.

PM-10 INITIAL NONATTAINMENT AREAS ^{1,2}—Continued

State and counties	Area of Concern
Pennsylvania:	
Allegheny.....	The area including Liberty, Lincoln, Port Vue, and Glassport Boroughs and the City of Clairton.
Puerto Rico:	
Guaynabo.....	Municipality of Guaynabo.
Texas:	
Lubbock.....	That portion of the City of Lubbock enclosed by Loop 289 highway.
El Paso.....	City of El Paso.
Utah:	
Salt Lake.....	County.
Utah.....	County.
Washington:	
King.....	The portion of the City of Seattle bounded on the east by I-5/East Duwamish Greenbelt, on the south by 104th Street, on the west by the West Duwamish Greenbelt north to Fairmont Avenue, S.W., north on Fairmont to Elliott Bay, and Dearborn Street from Elliott Bay to I-5;
	The City of Kent and a portion of the Green River valley bounded on east and west by the 100-foot contour, on the north by South 212th Street, and on the south by Highway 516.
Pierce.....	Tacoma metropolitan area bounded on the north by Marine View Drive from Commencement Bay east to the 100-foot contour, southeast along the 100-foot contour to 64th Avenue East, south along 64th Avenue East extended to I-5, I-5 west to the 100-foot contour near Pacific Avenue, and north along the 100-foot contour to Commencement Bay.
Spokane.....	The area bounded on the south by a line from Universal Transmercator (UTM) coordinate 489000mE, 5271000mN, west to 458000mE, 5271000mN, thence north along a line to coordinate 458000mE, 5288000mN, thence east to 463000mE, 5288000mN, thence north to 463000mE, 5292000mN, thence east to 481000mE, 5292000mN, thence south to 481000mE, 5288000mN, thence east to 489000mE, 5288000, thence south to the beginning coordinate 489000mE, 5271000mN.
Yakima.....	The area bounded on the south by a line from Universal Transmercator (UTM) coordinate 694000mW, 5157000mN, west to 681000mW, 5157000mN, thence north along a line to coordinate 681000mW, 5172000mN, thence south to the beginning coordinate 694000mW, 5157000mN.
Thurston.....	Cities of Olympia, Tumwater, and Lacey.
Walla Walla.....	Wallula.
West Virginia:	
Brooke.....	Follansbee area bounded on the north by the Market Street Bridge, on the east by West Virginia Route #2, on the south by the extension of the southern boundary of Steubenville Township in Jefferson County, Ohio, and on the west by the Ohio/West Virginia border.
Wyoming:	
Sheridan.....	City of Sheridan.

¹ When cities or towns are shown, the area of concern is defined by the municipal boundary limits as of the date of this notice.

² When a planning area is shown, the area of concern includes the entire planning area unless the area is further defined (e.g., by township, range, and/or section).

IV. Significance of Today's Action

By November 15, 1991, States must adopt and submit to EPA a SIP for all those areas that were classified as moderate PM-10 nonattainment areas by operation of law upon enactment of the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments [see Subpart 4 of Part D of Title I of the Clean Air Act as amended (section 189)]. All of the areas listed above must submit a SIP meeting the general requirements for nonattainment areas identified in section 172 of the amended Act and the requirements specific to PM-10 in Subpart 4 of Part D. In particular, section 189(a) of the amended Act requires that all of the initial moderate PM-10 nonattainment areas submit a SIP by November 15, 1991 which includes the following:

1. Either a demonstration (including air quality modeling) that the plan will provide for attainment by December 31, 1994 or a demonstration that attainment by that date is impracticable.
2. Provisions to assure that reasonably available control measures (including reasonably available control technology) for the control of PM-10 are implemented by December 10, 1993.

In addition, a new source permit program meeting the requirements of

Part D of the Act is required for the construction and operation of new and modified major stationary sources of PM-10 (including, in some cases, PM-10 precursors). A SIP revision meeting this requirement is due by June 30, 1992 for all of the initial moderate PM-10 nonattainment areas. The EPA will provide additional guidance on SIP requirements for these areas in the near future.

Also note that EPA must take final action by the end of 1991 with respect to which of these initial PM-10 nonattainment areas should be reclassified from moderate to serious because they cannot practicably attain the PM-10 air quality standards by December 31, 1994 [see section 188(b)(1) of the amended Act]. If reclassified as serious, these areas will be subject to additional control requirements and a new attainment date. Since EPA must propose these reclassifications by June 30, 1991, EPA will work with the States before that date in order to develop a proposed list of moderate areas to be reclassified as serious.

V. Authority

Sections 107(d)(4), 110, 188(a), and 301 of the amended Clean Air Act provide authority for today's action.

Dated: March 7, 1991.

Michael Shapiro,
Acting Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 91-5987 Filed 3-14-91; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

GENERAL SERVICES
ADMINISTRATION

41 CFR Part 301-1 and Ch. 304

[FTR Interim Rule 3]

RIN 3090-AE19

Federal Travel Regulation; Acceptance
of Payment From a Non-Federal
Source for Travel Expenses

AGENCY: Federal Supply Service, GSA.

ACTION: Interim rule; correction.

SUMMARY: This action corrects an error in a document amending the Federal Travel Regulation which was published March 8, 1991 [56 FR 9878]. In the